EFFECT OF THERMAL MODIFICATION ON DECAY RESISTANCE OF CORYMBIA CITRIODORA AND PINUS TAEDA WOOD
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Alternative and eco-friendly technologies such as thermal modification can improve durability and dimensional stability of wood. This study evaluated the effect of thermal modification on resistance improvement of Corymbia citriodora and Pinus taeda wood against brown and white-rot fungi under laboratory conditions. Wood samples were subjected to treatment temperatures of 160, 180, 200, 220 and 240 °C in a laboratory electric furnace, under dynamic nitrogen atmosphere. A treatment temperature of 260 °C was additionally used for P. taeda. Seven planks, with dimensions of 6 cm × 16 cm × 56 cm (thickness × width × length), were used for each temperature. The thermally modified planks were transformed into prismatic test samples with dimensions of 1.9 cm × 1.9 cm × 1.9 cm. Inoculated culture bottles containing test blocks were kept in an incubation room for 12 weeks. Thermal modification temperatures at 160 and 180 °C decreased the biological resistance of C. citriodora wood. Treatment temperatures of 200, 220 and 240 °C showed satisfactory decay resistance gains for both species. Rhodonia placenta was the most degrading fungus at temperatures lower than 200 °C.
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INTRODUCTION

Alternative technologies are able to change the chemical structure of wood and improve its biological resistance (Klüppel et al. 2015, Treu & Larnøy 2016). Thermal modification has provided improved decay resistance, dimensional stability, weathering resistance, reduced water absorption, material darkening and colour stability (Silva et al. 2015, Sivrikaya et al. 2015, Pratiwi et al. 2019) in many species of wood.

Thermal treatment of wood emerged in European countries in the 1920s and have shown beneficial effects of the exposure of timber to temperatures close to 200 °C in dry or vapourised environments, with or without the use of nitrogen (Rapp & Sailer 2001, Metsä-Kortelainen et al. 2006). In Brazil, the earliest studies of thermal treatment were conducted in the mid-1980s and the main method used commercially was the VAP HolzSysteme® (Batista et al. 2015, 2016). Changes in the wood chemical constituents improve biological resistance by reducing hemicellulose content, hygroscopicity and generating new toxic extractives (Sivrikaya et al. 2015). This process blocks the translocation of enzymes and hinders substrate recognition by fungi as food (Sivrikaya et al. 2015).

Intensity of the treatment that is characterised by thermal degradation (mass loss) is strongly correlated with the biological resistance of thermally modified wood, whereby the intensity of thermal treatment leads to an increase in loss of wood mass and decrease in weight loss by wood-destroying organisms (Candelier et al. 2016). However, depending on species of
wood and process conditions, some results have reported limited improvement, or even loss of biological resistance, at treatment temperatures below 200 °C (Calonego et al. 2010, Paes et al. 2015, Batista et al. 2016, Candelier et al. 2016). This paper was aimed at evaluating the effects of different temperatures of thermal modification (160, 180, 200, 220, and 240 °C) on the decay resistance of thermally modified Corymbia citriodora and Pinus taeda wood against brown-rot and white-rot fungi under laboratory conditions.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Materials**

The study materials were obtained from three 20-year-old pine and eucalypt. *Pinus taeda* trees came from the Jaguariaíva region, State of Paraná (24° 10’ S, 49° 20’ W) and *Corymbia citriodora*, from the Brotas region, São Paulo, Brazil (22° 11’ S, 48° 05’ W). The density values of fresh wood at 12% moisture content were 0.97 and 0.52 g cm\(^{-3}\) respectively.

Treatment was carried out at the University of São Paulo, Piracicaba, Brazil. Heartwood of *C. citriodora* and the outer sapwood of *P. taeda* were placed inside a metal box with lid, mounted one above the other in a vertical disposition. Nitrogen gas was injected inside the box during all thermal treatment, in order to avoid oxidation of the timber. Temperature control was monitored using seven type-K thermocouples, one installed inside the furnace, one in the metal box and five distributed on wood pieces.

The thermal modification process was done with an increase in furnace temperature from room temperature (30 °C) until 100 °C for 40 min. Afterwards, for each independent temperature, the heating rate used was 0.033 °C min\(^{-1}\) until the final temperature was achieved and kept for 120 min with a standard deviation of ± 5 °C, totalling 33, 43, 53, 63, 73 and 82 hours for treatment temperatures of 160, 180, 200, 220, 240 and 260 °C respectively. Seven planks, with dimensions of 6 cm × 16 cm × 56 cm (thickness × width × length) were used for each temperature. The thermal modification process is explained in Paes et al. (2015, 2016) and Silva et al. (2013, 2015).

**Decay resistance test**

Decay test was conducted according to ASTM D-1413 (2005a). To perform the test, prismatic samples with dimensions of 1.9 cm × 1.9 cm × 1.9 cm were taken from the thermally modified pieces and subjected to brown- (*Gloeophyllum trabeum*, *Neolentinus lepideus*, *Rhodonia placenta*) and white- (*Trametes versicolor*) rot fungi under laboratory conditions. A nutrient medium consisting of 2% malt extract and 1.5% agar (w/v) was used to prepare the Petri dish cultures of the test fungi. The assay was set up in 600 mL bottles filled with 300 g soil with a pH of 5.7 and 33.9% water holding capacity. The soil in each bottle was moistened at the water holding capacity, and two feeder strips of *Pinus* sp. wood (acquired from local commerce) were added to each bottle. The bottles were sterilised at 121 ± 2 °C for 30 min. After cooling the bottles, fragments obtained from pure cultures of the tested fungi were inoculated in the feeder strips. Two samples per bottle and 10 repetitions were used for each species and thermal modification temperature.

The test was kept in an incubation room (25 ± 2 °C and 65 ± 5% relative humidity) for 12 weeks. The samples were then dried under the same conditions as those used before the testing and mass loss was determined and evaluated by comparing the ASTM D-2017 (2005b) reference values for resistance. The natural resistance obtained at different treatment temperatures was compared with control samples (100 °C, oven-dry condition for mass loss evaluation).

**Evaluation and analysis of the results**

A completely randomised design with a factorial arrangement was used to evaluate the natural resistance of thermally modified wood. The effect of temperature was tested separately for each species (six levels for *Corymbia citriodora* and seven for *Pinus taeda*) and fungus (four levels) using 10 repetitions for each situation. When necessary, to enable the normality of data (Lilliefors test), homogeneity of variances (Cochran’s test) and statistical analyses, the values of percentage weight loss were arcsine-transformed (√weight loss/100), as recommended by Steel and Torrie (1980). Tukey test (p ≤ 0.05) was used for significant factors based on an F test (p ≤ 0.05).
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

For the thermally modified *C. citriodora* and *P. taeda* wood, the analysis of variance showed significant differences at the 1% level for wood mass loss of the evaluated fungi at different treatment temperatures and the interaction between the factors.

The means of the natural resistance of the control samples (100 °C), the wood of *C. citriodora* in contact with brown-rot (*R. placenta, G. trabeum* and *N. lepideus*) and white-rot fungi (*T. versicolor*) did not differ between each other and were classified as highly resistant (Table 1). These results are consistent with those of Oliveira et al. (2005), who obtained mass loss values between 1.34 and 4.6% for the heartwood of the same wood species but subjected to *G. trabeum* fungal attack. Mass loss increased at 160 and 180 °C, and a different behaviour between the fungi was observed (Table 1). *Rhodonia placenta* caused the greatest mass loss, and *T. versicolor*, in the treatment temperature of 180 °C, had the lowest mass loss. For other treatment temperatures, there was no difference in degradation of wood by the fungi. It is already well established that thermally modified wood will show improved biological durability (Calonego et al. 2010, Batista et al. 2015, Candelier et al. 2016), but a decrease at lower modification temperatures was observed in the present study.

Calonego et al. (2010) did not find any significant improvement in decay resistance of thermally modified *Eucalyptus grandis* wood at 140, 160, 180 °C. The decrease trend of decay resistance at lower temperatures of treatment was also reported by Paes et al. (2015, 2016) with termite test, where the temperatures of 160 and 180 °C led to decreased biological resistance. These findings corroborate the report by Candelier et al. (2016), whereby limited improvement in resistance was achieved against fungal decay for thermal treatment below 200 °C.

According to Silva et al. (2015), under treatment temperatures, volatile extractives were either evaporated or modified. However, there were no significant changes in the cell wall constituents and this might have facilitated the fungal attack.

Significant reductions in mass loss against fungal attack were observed only at temperatures of 220 and 240 °C compared with control samples. (Table 1). These treatment temperatures (220–240 °C) caused major changes in chemical composition of the wood and, thus, food (hemicellulose) was not available for the fungi (Doi et al. 2005). Treatment temperature reduces equilibrium moisture content with the creation of new free molecules, which act as fungicides. Crosslinks of the lignin network hinder the fungus attack and reduce the degradability of wood (Doi et al. 2005).

Several studies have reported the benefits of thermal modification on the physical and colorimetric properties of *C. citriodora* wood (Silva et al. 2013, 2015, Delucis et al. 2014, Menezes et al. 2014, Santos et al. 2016). However, from the perspective of biological resistance gain, we do not think that the thermal modification of *C. citriodora* seems useful, since the wood showed high biological resistance.

Longer thermal treatment times used in the different treatment temperatures, mainly above 200 °C, might also be a contributing factor to increased biological resistance. However, the impact of treatment temperature was reported to be stronger than the impact of treatment time on mass loss caused by fungal decay (Candelier et al. 2016). *Pinus taeda* was subjected to temperatures of 100, 160 and 200 °C, and had different mass loss among the tested fungi (Table 1). In control treatment (100 °C), *R. placenta* resulted in the greatest mass loss while *T. versicolor*, the least. This low mass loss in the control *P. taeda* wood was because white-rot fungi predominantly attacks hardwood and has less ability to attack softwood compared with brown-rot fungi (Zabel & Morrell 1992, Schmidt 2006).

At treatment temperature of 180 °C, *N. lepideus* caused the greatest mass loss, and *T. versicolor* caused the least amount of mass loss. The mass loss caused by *R. placenta* and *G. trabeum* was similar and lower than that of *N. lepideus*. At 220 °C, the brown-rot fungi caused similar mass loss in the wood as at 240 and 260 °C, and there was no difference in deterioration between the brown- and white-rot fungi.

For *R. placenta*, there was an increase in resistance of pine wood at 180 °C. Significant reductions in mass loss were observed for treatment temperatures of 220–260 °C, which made the wood highly resistant to this fungus. A similar behaviour was observed for *G. trabeum*; in this case, the treatment temperature of 200 °C provided high resistance to the wood. For *N. lepideus*, increased resistance occurred after
treatment at 200 °C or higher. Improved wood resistance was also observed for the white-rot fungus, which caused less wood mass loss compared with the brown-rot fungi. In this case, the biological resistance of wood was changed from resistant to highly resistant (Table 1).

A decreasing trend in mass loss was observed in the thermally modified P. taeda following fungi attack compared with control (100 °C). In general, pine wood was classified as resistant when treated at 200 °C and highly resistant at 220 °C (Table 1). Mass loss less than 5% was observed for Pinus radiata, Pinus sylvestris, Pseudotsuga menziesii and Picea abies after treatment at 220 °C and exposed to T. versicolor (white-rot fungus) and Coniophora puteana (brown-rot fungus) (Militz & Tjeerdsma 2001).

Momohara et al. (2003) observed that the minimum requirements to obtain significant improvements in the natural resistance of Cryptomeria japonica wood against Fomitopsis palustris was 135 °C for 24 hours. Rapp and Sailer (2001) observed that the mass loss of P. sylvestris and P. abies that were not thermally modified were 48.0 and 40.0% respectively, for the fungus Coniophora puteana (brown rot). These same wood species, when thermally modified at 180 to 220 °C for 4.5 hours, showed mass losses of

Table 1  Mass loss of Corymbia citriodora and Pinus taeda wood based on treatment temperatures and tested fungi.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Mass loss (%) of Corymbia citriodora</th>
<th>Mass loss (%) of Pinus taeda</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rhodonia placenta</td>
<td>Gloeophyllum trabeum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>2.38 Ab</td>
<td>2.19 Ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR (0.33)</td>
<td>HR (0.32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>6.35 Aa</td>
<td>4.44 Ba</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR (0.88)</td>
<td>HR (1.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>7.71 Aa</td>
<td>4.68 Ba</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR (2.93)</td>
<td>HR (2.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>1.90 Ab</td>
<td>2.23 Ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR (0.63)</td>
<td>HR (0.65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220</td>
<td>0.65 Ac</td>
<td>0.77 Ac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR (0.17)</td>
<td>HR (0.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>240</td>
<td>0.45 Ac</td>
<td>0.22 Ad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR (0.43)</td>
<td>HR (0.15)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means followed by the same uppercase (horizontally) or lowercase (vertically) letter do not differ at p > 0.05. Numbers in parentheses represent the standard deviation; NR, MR, R and HR = non-resistant, moderately resistant, resistant and highly resistant respectively.
11.0 and 5.5% respectively (Rapp & Sailer 2001). When the time and treatment temperature are increased, the resistance of the wood against decay increases (Momohara et al. 2003). This is due to the physical and chemical changes that occur in the wood during thermal modification, as discussed for the wood of *C. citriodora*.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Thermal modification temperatures at 160 and 180 °C decreased the biological resistance of *C. citriodora* wood. *Rhodonia placenta* was the fungus that most severely attacked treated wood at temperatures below 200 °C. Treatment temperatures of 200, 220, and 240 °C promoted satisfactory decay resistance gains for *C. citriodora* and *P. taeda*.
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