



THE EFFECTS OF LED LIGHT SPECTRA ON GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT OF *IN VITRO* LABISIA PUMILA VAR. ALATA

Nurul Hanis, Y., Siti Suhaila, A. R. & Mohd Zaki, A.

Center for Biotechnology Bioentrepreneur (CBB), Forestry Biotechnology Division, Forest Research Institute Malaysia (FRIM)



INTRODUCTION

Light quality is an important environmental factor affecting the growth, development, and morphogenesis of *in vitro* plants. Light-emitting diodes (LEDs) had been used in plant tissue culture and proof to be a better alternatives light source with low energy consumption and high photoelectric conversion efficiency that can satisfy the energy-saving needs of feasible plant production systems. However, the effects of LED light sources on *L. pumila* var. *alata* tissue culture are poorly understood.

Objective

To evaluate the effect of LEDs on plant growth and development (height, leaf number, leaf length and width, root length) in *L. pumila* var. *alata* clones (BKF 1/2, BKF 1/3, BKF 2/2, BKF 2/3).

Materials and Methods

- ✓ Four *L. pumila* var. *alata* clones (namely BKF 1/2, BKF 1/3, BKF 2/2, and BKF 2/3) are selected and single nodal segments were excised at 1 cm in length and used as explants.
- ✓ Explants were cultured onto a full-strength MS medium supplemented with 1mg/L NAA (1-Naphthaleneacetic acid). The cultures were incubated under controlled conditions at 21 ± 2°C, light and dark cycle of 12-h photoperiod (alternate every 4 hours) under different LEDs treatments. Four explants were subjected to each treatment with 3 replicates.
- ✓ The LEDs light treatments were imposed of white (W), red (R), blue (B), and a combination of red and blue LEDs (1R:1B and 4R:1B). Red and blue LEDs lights supplied 32 W (4ft); 22 W (2ft) whereby white LEDs supplied 18 W (4ft); 9 W (2ft).
- ✓ The performance such as plant height, leaf number, leaf length and width, root length were measured after 4 months.

Results



Note: Left to right: BKF 1/3, BKF 2/3, BKF 2/2, BKF 1/2

After 4 months of culture, significant differences were observed between the different LED wavelengths towards different clones of *L. pumila* var. *alata*. The highest plant height was obtained under treatment W BKF 2/2 (3.2 cm) followed by BKF 1/3 (2.5 cm) whereas treatment with 4R:1B, R and B produced shortest plant height in BKF 2/2, BKF 2/3 and BKF 2/3, respectively. The treatment 4R:1B in BKF 2/3 produced the highest number of shoots (n=2) and leaves (n=5), evidencing that 4R:1B LEDs exert a positive effect on the variables evaluated during the *in vitro* formation of shoots in *L. pumila* var. *alata*.

Two out of 4 clones (BKF 2/2 and BKF 1/3) gave the best results in terms of leaf size under control treatment W with BKF 2/2 produced larger leaves compared to BKF 1/3. Hence, no leaf produced in treatment R for BKF 2/3 and treatment B for BKF 1/2 and BKF 2/3. Significant differences were observed in rooting responses under different LED treatments. Clone BKF 2/2 under control treatment W produced highest number of roots per explant (n=81) and root length of 3.6 cm followed by BKF 1/3 producing 48 roots per explant and 3.0 cm in root length. Eventhough treatment R produced the longest root length in BKF 2/3 which is 3.7 cm and 22 number of roots per explant, no new shoots and leaves produced. Further observation revealed no roots developed from explant treated under 4R: 1B (BKF 1/3), 1R: 1B (BKF 1/2, BKF 1/3 and BKF 2/3), R (BKF 1/2) and similarly in treatment B for all clones.

Conclusion

- ✓ Treatment W is the most suitable for *L. pumila* var. *alata* as it promotes fastest plant growth *in vitro*. Results show treatment W is the best as it displays rapid responses towards initiation, differentiation, and regeneration compared to other treatments in *L. pumila* var. *alata*.
- ✓ The *L. pumila* var. *alata* clones responded differently under different LED treatments as each clone came from different mother tree.

References

Gupta, S.D., & Jatothu, B. (2013). Fundamentals and applications of light emitting diodes (LEDs) in *in vitro* plant growth and morphogenesis. Plant Biotechnol Rep 7:211–220.

Acknowledgements

Authors thank RMK12 Forestry Biotechnology Division (Vot No. 24010205001) under Ministry of Energy and Natural Resources (KeTSA) for fund.